

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1459
Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/686,653	10/10/2000	Shunpei Yamazaki	07977/084002/US3151D1	5915	
	7590 05/23/2003				
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC 4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE			EXAMINER		
SUITE 500			TON, MINE	TON, MINH TOAN T	
3111.212.00,	011 92122		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	70
Advisory Action	09/686,653	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Toan Ton	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 09 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of AEXAMINATION (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of the control	nis application. A proper reply to a nent which places the application in	า าued
PERIOD FOR	RREPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f).	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date se ter than SIX MONTHS from the ma VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTI	ling date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEF	•
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ear CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	extension and the corresponding arr	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set	e under
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 May 2003</u>. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 	Appellant's Brief must be fi CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid di	ed within the period set forth in smissal of the appeal.	
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entere	ed because:	• •	
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require for	urther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the applicati issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appea	by materially reducing or simplifyi	ng the
(d) they present additional claims without car NOTE:	nceling a corresponding nui	nber of finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed amend	ment
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ reques application in condition for allowance because	t for reconsideration has be : <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	een considered but does NOT place	the
. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed \$	SOLELY to issues which were newly	y
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims	nent(s) a)⊡ will not be ente s would be rejected is provi	red or b)⊠ will be entered and an ded below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:	·	
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-7,9-13,15-19,21-24</u>			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a) □ approved or b) □	disapproved by the Examiner.	
. Note the attached Information Disclosure State			
D.	.,,	\'/\'	
Patent and Trademark Office O-303 (Rev. 04-01)	Advisory Action	Part of Paper No. 19	

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are found not persuasive, and therefore the final rejection is maintained.

TOANTON

PRIMARY EXAMINER